

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE June 20, 2007		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N/A	
5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)					
6. ISSUED BY CODE U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Office of Procurement Mail Stop 6 PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) Code			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(✓)	9.A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DOC52PAPT0701011
					9.B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)
					10.A. MODIFICATION OF Contract/Order NO.
					10.B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE N/A		FACILITY CODE N/A			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning ___ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)
N/A

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

(✓)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return ___ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section heading, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

See Page No. 2 of this Amendment.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
		Jason E. Taylor, Contracting Officer	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

RFP DOC52PAPT0701011 Amendment 0002

The purpose of this amendment is to incorporate the following offeror questions and USPTO answers.

Question 1(a): Paper is only one factor of image permanence. The Wilhelm Imaging Research Certificate Program tests specific paper and ink as a matched set on a specific printer. Is it the intention of USPTO that an independent testing organization has certified the archival properties of the specific printer/paper/ink combination of the submitted sample prints?

USPTO Answer: No, although this is certainly acceptable. See answer to Question 3.

Question 1(b): If so, does the test data need to be publicly available and readily accessible with regard to light fade, dark fade, and paper stability?

USPTO Answer: No.

Question 2: Several printer manufacturers claim that their products deliver archival quality. Does this claim need to be independently confirmed with testing data publicly available from an independent testing organization?

USPTO Answer: No. See answer to Question 3.

Question 3: Since currently there is no ISO standard with regard to image stability and archival testing methodology, and the definition of archival is not universally agreed upon, which other archival testing methodologies and testing organizations, other than Wilhelm, meet the requirements of this RFP?

USPTO Answer: The requirement to adhere to "a recognized archival standard such as the Wilhelm Imaging Research Certificate Seal" is hereby deleted. Any acid-free, archival quality "photo paper" with a semi-matte finish is acceptable.

Question 4: Digital printing is a rapidly advancing technology. Would the Patent and Trademark Office permit the successful bidder to migrate the production to a newer, better production process if that became available during the course of the contract?

USPTO Answer: Yes.

The closing date of the RFP remains unchanged.

-----END AMENDMENT-----